

99 John McCarroll Blvd Greenville, South Carolina

Grades 3-7 Elementary School

Enrollment 346 Students

PrincipalDavid M. Johnstone864-355-4480SuperintendentDr. Phinnize J. Fisher864-355-8860Board ChairDr. Keith Ray864-288-0476



RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

Year	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating
2008	Excellent	Good
2007	Excellent	Below Average
2006	N/A	N/A
2005	N/A	N/A
2004	N/A	N/A

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Sterling School 02/16/09-2301116

Percent of Student PACT Records Matched for Purposes of Computing Improvement Rating


Percent of students tested in 2007-08 whose 2006-07 test scores were located

97.7%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIK	

ABOUGHT WITHOUT ELEMENTARY CONTROLS WITHOUT BEING CONC											
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk							
4	1	0	0	0							

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are Elementary schools with Poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the

Definition of 0	Critical Terms
Advanced	Exceeded expectations, Very high score, very well prepared to work at next grade level
Proficient	Met expectations, Well prepared to work at next grade level
Basic	Met standards, Minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards, must have an academic assistance plan, the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

Sterling School 02/16/09-2301116

School Profile

Control France	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=346)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/R	N/R	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.0%	No Change	1.0%	2.3%
Attendance rate	97.9%	Up from 97.6%	97.1%	96.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	100.0%	No Change	39.5%	10.4%
With disabilities other than speech	1.4%	Up from 1.1%	3.2%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	0.0%	No Change	0.4%	0.6%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	69.6%	Up from 68.8%	69.5%	56.7%
Continuing contract teachers	78.3%	Up from 75.0%	81.4%	77.3%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	5.3%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	88.2%	86.4%
Teacher attendance rate	95.2%	No Change	93.3%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$47,160	Up 1.8%	\$47,160	\$45,345
Professional development days/teacher	8.3 days	Down from 13.5 days	9.5 days	12.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Down from 10.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.1 to 1	Up from 16.2 to 1	19.0 to 1	18.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.0%	Up from 91.3%	87.9%	89.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	99.2%	Up from 98.8%	99.3%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	Up from Good	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,257	N/A	\$8,080	\$7,052
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	60.2%	N/A	72.5%	69.1%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	60.2%	N/A	60.2%	64.2%

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Sterling School 02/16/09-2301116

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Sterling School currently serves students from 4-year-old kindergarten through eighth grade. The test scores reported are based on the Sterling School enrollment in the 2007-2008 school year, grades three through seven from the Charles Townes Center, a center for highly gifted students. The kindergarten through 2nd grade program was added in the fall of 2008, as was an eighth grade to the Charles Townes Center. The Sterling School operates with one mission: to provide a differentiated, challenging education to meet the distinctive intellectual, social, and emotional needs of our students.

Sterling School has the highest expectations for our students. Our School Improvement Council and Faculty Planning Teams have worked together to develop goals, strategies, and evaluation measures of our comprehensive school improvement plan. A major program initiated in the 2007-2008 school year is the development and integration of leadership theme to the school, focusing on developing leadership traits, skills, and attributes across the school and across the curriculum.

Our goals align with district objectives, including raising the academic challenge and performance of students. We measure progress toward these goals by methods including evaluating student work and test scores, as well as analyzing parent, student, and teacher surveys. The Sterling School goals are as follows: 1) Student performance will improve in all subcategories on the Palmetto Achievement Test (PACT) to 100% Proficient and Advanced by 2011-2012; 2) All personnel will be identified as highly qualified and specialized by 2005-2006 and maintain 100% of this status until 2011-2012; 3) Students, Parents, and Teachers will be rated at an 85% overall approval rating on the state report card annually until 2011-2012.

Currently in our fifth year, the Sterling School continues to build and refine instructional units that meet or exceed state standards. Various techniques are used to enhance the learning process. Through the integration of multiple subjects, points of view, and cultures, students are encouraged to develop a deep understanding of topics and perspectives. Students at all grade levels have completed multiple units of integrated study and critical thinking. Students are also involved in extensive research, using technology to explore and present information. Students continue to exceed district and state benchmarks, while teachers constantly review student progress to improve program implementation and student achievement.

David Johnstone, Principal Jim O'Connor, SIC Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	24	50	24
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	78.0%	91.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	86.0%	91.7%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	88.0%	79.2%

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

Sterling School 02/16/09-2301116

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress YES

This school met 9 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.9%	1.8%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.4%	6.8%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.8%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	97.9%	94.0%	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Sterling School									02/16	6/09-23	01116
	v Crow								02/10	700 20	01110
PACT Performance B		0					+=	+=			
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	D.	Below Basic	ی	ent	pe Se	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	Met	tion Met
	men	% Tested	ow E	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	thool % Proficie and Advanced*	strict % Proficie and Advanced*	6 Prof	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
	inroll ay o	%	Bel	%	- K	% Ac	hool and A	strict '	ate %	Perfo Objec	Parti Objed
			%				٠,			_	
English/Languag	ge Arts	- State	Perforr	nance	Objecti	/e = 58	.8% (P	roficien	t and A	dvance	ed)
All Students	342	100	0	2.1	47.6	50.3	99.7	52.4	48.2	Yes	Yes
Gender											
Male	195	100	0	3.1	54.2	42.7	99.5	46.1	41.7	N/A	N/A
Female	147	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	59.1	55	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	316	100	0	2.2	49.2	48.6	99.7	62.3	60	Yes	Yes
Africian American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	31.7	31.7	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	17	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	74.9	70.4	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	36.7	38.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.7	47	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	20.3	16	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	25	38.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	36.1	36.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	11	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	34.3	34	I/S	I/S
Mathematic	s - Stat	e Perfo	ormanc	e Objec	ctive =	57.8% (Proficie	ent and	Advan	ced)	
All Students	342	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	49.5	45.8	Yes	Yes
Gender											
Male	195	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	49.9	45.6	N/A	N/A
Female	147	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	49	45.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	316	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	59.4	59	Yes	Yes
Africian American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	27.2	26.9	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	17	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	75.3	71.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	37.4	38.1	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	52.4	46.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	20.1	17.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	30	32.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											

2

I/S

I/S

I/S

I/S

I/S

100 N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV 32.2 31.4 I/S

I/S

38.4

38.7

I/S

I/S

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsized meals

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Sterling School									02/16	6/09-23	01116
PACT Performance B	y Grou	0									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Scie	nce						
All Students	232	100	0	3	13.4	83.6	97	39.3	35.7	97.9	96.5
Gender											
Male	125	100	0	1.6	13.6	84.8	98.4	41.6	37.4	97.6	96.4
Female	107	100	0	4.7	13.1	82.2	95.3	36.9	33.8	98.2	96.6
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	214	100	0	3.3	14	82.7	96.7	49.7	49.2	97.8	96.4
Africian American	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	18.2	17	98	96.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	100 I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	60.9	58 24.9	98.5 97	97.7 96.9
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	1/S 1/S	1/S 1/S	1/S 1/S	1/S 1/S	1/S 1/S	1/S 1/S	34.2	37.4	N/A	95.3
Disability Status	IN/A	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	34.2	31.4	IN/A	90.3
Disability Status Disabled	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	16.3	14	98.2	95.5
Migrant Status	0	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	10.5	14	30.2	30.0
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	20	21.9	N/A	96.4
English Proficiency	IN/A	1/0	1/3	1/3	1/0	1/3	1/3	20	21.3	IN/A	30.4
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	22.6	24.4	97.2	97.2
Socio-Economic Status		1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	22.0	24.4	31.2	31.L
Subsized meals	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	21.3	21.1	95.2	95.8
	l I								l		
	ı				Studies				1	I	
All Students	233	100	0	3.1	8.7	88.2	96.9	38.1	34	97.9	96.5
Gender											
Male	139	100	0	2.2	6.6	91.2	97.8	41	36.6	97.6	96.4
Female	94	100	0	4.3	11.8	83.9	95.7	35	31.3	98.2	96.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	0.10										
White	218	100	0	3.3	9.3	87.4	96.7	46.1	44.5	97.8	96.4
Africian American Asian/Pacific Islander	10	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	20.5 60.2	19.1 58.9	98 98.5	96.4 97.7
Hispanic	1	I/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	27.7	27.5	96.5	96.9
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	1/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	28.3	32.7	N/A	95.3
Disability Status	14// (1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	20.0	02.1	14/71	30.0
Disabled	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	17.1	14.4	98.2	95.5
Migrant Status		,,0	,,0	,,0	,,0	1,0	,,0		1.67	55.Z	55.5
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	28.6	22.6	N/A	96.4
English Proficiency	// 1	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0				55.1
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	27.6	27.3	97.2	97.2
Socio-Economic Status		., 0	., 0	","	","	1/0	","	21.0	27.0	01.2	V7.2
Subsized meals	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	22.8	21	95.2	95.8

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

PACT	Performan	ce By Grade	e Level					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced*
			Er	nglish/Langu	uage Arts			
	3	74	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
_	4	70	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2007	5	76	100	1.3	2.6	60.5	35.5	96.1
7 0	6	54	100	0	5.6	50	44.4	94.4
	6 7	N/A	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	3	74	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
∞ □	4	72	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2008	5 6	74	100	0	4.2	63.4	32.4	95.8
7	6	70	100	0	2.9	37.1	60	97.1
	7	52	100	0	3.8	57.7	38.5	96.2
	8	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
				Mathema	atics			
	3	74	100	0	2.7	14.9	82.4	97.3
_	4	70	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2007	5	76	100	0	1.3	13.2	85.5	98.7
	6	54	100	0	1.9	11.1	87	98.1
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	3	74	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
∞ ⊥		72	100	0	1.4	18.1	80.6	98.6
2008	5 6	74	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2	6	70	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	7 8	52 N/A	100 I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S	N/AV I/S
	0	IN/A	1/3			1/3	1/3	1/3
		1		Scienc		1		1
	3	37	100	0	2.7	16.2	81.1	97.3
<u> </u>	4	70	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2007	5 6	37	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2	6	27	100	0	3.7	0	96.3	96.3
	7 8	N/A	N/AV N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	3	N/A 37	100	0	N/AV 2.7	18.9	N/AV 78.4	N/AV 97.3
	4	72	100	0	4.2	20.8	75.4	95.8
2008	5	37	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
\approx	6	34	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	7	52	100	0	5.8	9.6	84.6	94.2
	8	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
			,,,	Social Stu		,,,	.,,,	,,,,
	2	07	100	1		NUAN	N/AV	L NI/AN/
	3	37 70	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV		N/AV
<u>7</u> 0	5		100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2007	6	39 27	100 100	0	5.1 7.4	10.3 11.1	84.6 81.5	94.9 92.6
"	7	N/A	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/AV	N/AV N/AV	N/AV
	3	37	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
m	4	72	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2008	5	37	100	0	2.9	2.9	94.1	97.1
20	6	35	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	7	52	100	0	11.5	9.6	78.8	88.5
	8	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S